1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Sonya D. Winner, SB # 200348 David M. Jolley, SB # 191164 Margaret G. May, SB # 234910 COVINGTON & BURLING LLP One Front Street San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 591-6000 Facsimile: (415) 591-6091 swinner@cov.com  Attorneys for Defendants WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. and WELLS FARGO & CO.  UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CLAUDIA SANCHEZ, ERIN WALKER and WILLIAM SMITH, as individuals, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.  WELLS FARGO & COMPANY; WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.; and DOES 1 through 125,  Defendants.	Civil Case No.: CV-07-5923 WHA  ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. AND WELLS FARGO & CO. TO COMPLAINT  Hon. William H. Alsup
9	ANSWER	
20	Defendants Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., and Wells Fargo & Co. (collectively "the	
21	Wells Fargo defendants") answer the Complaint of plaintiffs Claudia Sanchez, Erin Walker, and	
22	William Smith in this action as follows:	
23	1. The allegations in paragraph 1 are conclusions of law as to which no	
24	response is required; to the extent that a response is required, the Wells Fargo defendants state	
25	that the jurisdictional requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) are satisfied.	
26	2. The allegations in paragraph 2 are conclusions of law as to which no	
27	response is required; to the extent that a response is required, the Wells Fargo defendants state	
28	that venue is proper in this district. The Wells Fargo defendants further state that their principal	

places of business are in San Francisco, California, but the Main Office of Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as set forth in its Articles of Association, is located in South Dakota.

- 3. The allegations in paragraph 3 are conclusions of law as to which no response is required; to the extent that a response is required, the Wells Fargo defendants deny the allegations. The Wells Fargo defendants specifically deny that the challenged fees or charges are in any way "improper," deny that they have provided any "inaccurate" information, and deny that they have conducted any "improper" activities.
- 4. The Wells Fargo defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 4, and on that basis denies them.
- 5. Answering paragraph 5, the Wells Fargo defendants state that on July 15, 2006, plaintiff Erin Walker opened a checking account at the Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., branch office in Culver City, California. The Wells Fargo defendants further state that on the same day, plaintiff Walker opened a savings account. With respect to the remaining allegations in paragraph 5, the Wells Fargo defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of those allegations, and on that basis denies them.
- 6. The Wells Fargo defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 6, and on that basis denies them.
- 7. Answering paragraph 7, the Wells Fargo defendants state that Wells Fargo & Co. is the parent holding company of Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. The Wells Fargo defendants further state that Wells Fargo & Co.'s subsidiaries provide banking, insurance, investments, mortgage banking, and consumer finance services to individuals, businesses, and institutions in all 50 states and internationally. The Wells Fargo defendants further state that Wells Fargo & Co.'s principal place of business is in San Francisco, California. The Wells Fargo defendants deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 7.
- 8. Answering paragraph 8, the Wells Fargo defendants state that Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., is a subsidiary of Wells Fargo & Co. and that Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.,

7

5

1011

1213

1415

1617

18 19

20

2122

2324

2526

27

28

conducts business throughout California and in many other states, and that the Main Office of Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as set forth in its Articles of Association, is located in South Dakota. The Wells Fargo defendants deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 8.

- 9. The Wells Fargo defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 9.
- 10. The allegations in paragraph 10 are conclusions of law as to which no response is required; to the extent that a response is required, the Wells Fargo defendants deny the allegations.
  - 11. The Wells Fargo defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 11.
- 12. Answering paragraph 12, the Wells Fargo defendants state that Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., is a banking company with millions of customers nationwide. The Wells Fargo defendants further state that one of the banking services that Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., provides is a debit card, which can be used to access funds in deposit accounts under terms and conditions established in the bank's customer agreement. The Wells Fargo defendants deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 12.
- 13. Because the complaint provides insufficient information as to the identity of "Claudia Sanchez," the Wells Fargo defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the first and third sentences of paragraph 13, and on that basis denies them. The Wells Fargo defendants deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 13.
  - 14. The Wells Fargo defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 14.
- 15. Answering paragraph 15, the Wells Fargo defendants state that on June 4, 2007, a check card purchase of \$9.66 made on May 29, 2007, posted to plaintiff Walker's checking account. The Wells Fargo defendants further state that at the time the transaction posted, plaintiff Walker's checking account had a negative balance. The Wells Fargo defendants deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 15.
- 16. Answering paragraph 16, the Wells Fargo defendants state that plaintiff Walker incurred multiple overdraft fees on June 5, 2007, which were reflected in plaintiff

Walker's checking account statement for the statement period ending June 25, 2007. The Wells Fargo defendants deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 16.

- 17. Because the complaint provides insufficient information as to the identity of "William Smith," the Wells Fargo defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the first and third sentences of paragraph 17, and on that basis denies them. The Wells Fargo defendants deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 17.
- 18. Because the complaint provides insufficient information as to the identity of "William Smith," the Wells Fargo defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 18, and on that basis denies them. The Wells Fargo defendants deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 18.
  - 19. The Wells Fargo defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 19.
- 20. Answering paragraph 20, the Wells Fargo defendants state that Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., provides customers with deposit account balance information in several ways, including online, by calling the phone bank, visiting a store, at a Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., ATM, and in monthly deposit account statements. The Wells Fargo defendants deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 20.
  - 21. The Wells Fargo defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 21.
  - 22. The Wells Fargo defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 22.
  - 23. The Wells Fargo defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 23.
  - 24. The Wells Fargo defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 24.
- 25. The allegations in paragraph 25 are conclusions of law as to which no response is required; to the extent that a response is required, the Wells Fargo defendants deny the allegations. The Wells Fargo defendants specifically deny that this case is an appropriate one for class treatment.
- 26. The allegations in paragraph 26 are conclusions of law as to which no response is required; to the extent that a response is required, the Wells Fargo defendants deny

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the allegations. The Wells Fargo defendants specifically deny that this case is an appropriate one for class treatment.

- 27. The allegations in paragraph 27 are conclusions of law as to which no response is required; to the extent that a response is required, the Wells Fargo defendants deny the allegations.
  - 28. The Wells Fargo defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 28.
- 29. The Wells Fargo defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 29 and each of its subparts.
  - 30. The Wells Fargo defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 30.
  - 31. The Wells Fargo defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 31.
  - 32. The Wells Fargo defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 32.
  - 33. The Wells Fargo defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 33.
- 34. The Wells Fargo defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 34 and each of its subparts.
- 35. Answering paragraph 35, the Wells Fargo defendants incorporate their responses to paragraphs 1-34 as if each were set forth in full herein.
- 36. The allegations in paragraph 36 are conclusions of law as to which no response is required; to the extent that a response is required, the Wells Fargo defendants deny those allegations.
- 37. The allegations in paragraph 37 are conclusions of law as to which no response is required; to the extent that a response is required, the Wells Fargo defendants deny those allegations.
- 38. The allegations in paragraph 38 are conclusions of law as to which no response is required; to the extent that a response is required, the Wells Fargo defendants deny those allegations.
- 39. The allegations in paragraph 39 are conclusions of law as to which no response is required; to the extent that a response is required, the Wells Fargo defendants deny those allegations.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In response to plaintiffs' Prayer for Relief, the Wells Fargo defendants deny that 2 plaintiffs or any other persons are entitled to the relief sought in the prayer or to any other relief 3 as a result of this action. The Wells Fargo defendants deny all allegations of the Complaint (including 4 5 headings) not specifically admitted above. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES 6 7 The Wells Fargo defendants assert the following defenses to plaintiffs' alleged 8 causes of action. Insofar as any of the following expresses denial of an element of any claim 9 alleged against the Wells Fargo defendants, such expression does not indicate that plaintiffs are 10 relieved of their burden to prove each and every element of any such claim.

### **First Affirmative Defense**

Plaintiffs fail to state any claim upon which relief can be granted.

## **Second Affirmative Defense**

Plaintiffs lack standing to assert any of the alleged claims.

## **Third Affirmative Defense**

The claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the applicable statutes of limitations, including but not limited to those set forth in Code of Civil Procedure Sections 338, 343; California Civil Code Section 1783; California Business and Professions Code Section 17208; and/or by the doctrine of laches.

# **Fourth Affirmative Defense**

The claims are barred by the doctrines of waiver, unjust enrichment, and/or estoppel.

## Fifth Affirmative Defense

The claims are preempted by federal law, including, without limitation, the National Bank Act, 12 U.S.C. § 21, et seq., and regulations promulgated thereunder.

## **Sixth Affirmative Defense**

The claims are barred by the existence of consent, notification, ratification, and/or acceptance.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### **Seventh Affirmative Defense**

The claims are barred by the fact that the obligations and fees about which plaintiffs complain were paid voluntarily.

## **Eighth Affirmative Defense**

Plaintiffs' alleged injuries were caused, in whole or in part, by their own actions. Similarly, the alleged injuries of members of the alleged class that plaintiffs seek to represent were caused, in whole or in part, by their own actions.

#### **Ninth Affirmative Defense**

Plaintiffs have failed to mitigate their damages, if any. Similarly, members of the alleged classes that plaintiffs seek to represent failed to mitigate their damages, if any.

### **Tenth Affirmative Defense**

The claims are barred by the doctrine of unclean hands.

### **Eleventh Affirmative Defense**

The claims are barred by virtue of the terms and provisions of contractual agreements with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.

# **Twelfth Affirmative Defense**

The claims are barred by the failure to notify Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., of any allegedly unauthorized debits in a timely manner following the mailing of account statements.

### **Thirteenth Affirmative Defense**

The debits and charges for which plaintiffs seek relief in this action represent lawful obligations that were owed to Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. In the event that plaintiffs or any members of the alleged classes that plaintiffs seek to represent are entitled to monetary relief in the nature of disgorgement, restitution, or damages with respect to any such debits and charges, then Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., is entitled to an offset in the amount of such debits and charges.

#### **Fourteenth Affirmative Defense**

Some or all of the claims are barred by the class settlement agreement and release in *Smith v. Wells Fargo Bank*, No. GIC 802664 (San Diego Super. Ct.).

\* \* \*

The Wells Fargo defendants reserve the right to assert any and all affirmative and/or additional defenses that may appear or become available during the discovery proceedings in this case and hereby reserve the right to amend their Answer to assert any such defenses.

Accordingly, the Wells Fargo defendants respectfully request that the Court:

- (a) Dismiss the Complaint with prejudice;
- (b) Award the Wells Fargo defendants their costs and attorney's fees; and
- (c) Grant such other relief as the Court deems appropriate.

DATED: February 29, 2008 COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

By: /s/
Margaret G. May
Attorneys for Defendants
WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., and
WELLS FARGO & CO.